

**Zarrol, Michael**

---

**From:** Zarrol, Michael  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 08, 2004 6:29 AM  
**To:** 'joseph.chung@foxconn.com'  
**Subject:** RE: Examiner Amendment to Drawing

I'll go ahead and send a first office action. So we don't get confused.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** joseph.chung@foxconn.com [mailto:joseph.chung@foxconn.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 07, 2004 1:29 PM  
**To:** Zarrol, Michael  
**Subject:** Re: Examiner Amendment to Drawing

Hi Michael,

Regarding your question, could our claims be based upon FIGS. 3 and 9 in which the shielding plates (50) are respectively located between every adjacent two lines (44)? If you have any further questions, please contact me for further discussion. Thank you.

Regards,

Joseph Chung

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137  
Fax No.: (408) 919-8353

"Zarrol,  
Michael"  
<joseph.chung@foxconn.com>  
<Michael.Zarrol@  
USPTO.GOV>  
Examiner Amendment to Drawing

To:  
cc:  
Subject:

06/07/2004 05:43

AM

Continuation application 10/771487 is ready for allowance on the claims, but is missing a drawing. None of the drawings show the claimed limitation of a shielding plate between every adjacent two lines. We could add drawing 4a showing a plate between two of the lines. I could red pen onto figure 4 a shield plate between two of the lines. We can call this drawing figure 4a and I'll revise the specification drawing section?

Mike Zarroli  
au 2839  
ph# internal 22101  
ph# external 571-272-2101  
Jeff. 10A-51